

Mr. Granger,

Sir, you are truly an **ignoramus**. I do not know you, but I cannot resist the opportunity to address the **haughty, condescending, elitist, and erroneous** reply that you penned to the e-mail regarding David Barton. Given your obvious **arrogance and ignorance**, you will likely disregard this message, if you read it at all. Nevertheless, I've lately felt the need to at least register a few blows to the **statist Obama-drone crowd** as they systematically destroy what is left of the United States. The damage that you and your **progressive liberal ilk** have caused may well prove to be irreversible, and as such, I enjoy having some fun while tearing your **hateful, baseless, ahistorical arguments** to shreds. At the very least, perhaps a few others reading this message will get a few laughs at your expense.

I will not attempt to educate you in a single e-mail. You are clearly a **devoted drone of Obama** and his minions, an **unoriginal thinker** that likely depends on talking points regurgitated from the pages of leftwing blogs. You will faithfully and perpetually tow the liberal line without actually thinking about anything. In other words, **you are a lost cause**. Philosophy, history, and facts are lost on you. As such, I will simply address the calamitous errors in your reply rather than attempt a broader effort to save you. **Along the way, I will insult you at every turn**. Since you have no qualms about insulting other people, but lack the courage to do it directly and depend upon implication and insinuation, I will make my insults overt, unsophisticated, and obvious. Unlike you, I have brains AND balls.

First off, America requires no miraculous, collective efforts to move beyond the current recession. All that is needed is for government **and morons like you** to get out of the way. You reference the Great Depression. **If you had a clue** about history, you would understand that the Great Depression was a consequence of the poor government policies of Hoover and FDR (undoubtedly one of your heroes, a lying, immoral philanderer who failed miserably at every business venture he ever attempted). Among the disastrous results of many socialistic anti-growth policies, the greatest effect of the New Deal (which FDR's top advisors admitted was extrapolated from Hoover's interventionism) was to

create enormous economic uncertainty. Years of inflamed anti-business rhetoric and big government intervention in the economy caused business leaders to hunker down, cut their expenses, hoard what cash they could, and ride out the storm. This reminds one of the record-high amount of cash businesses are currently saving.

Since I have an independently-thinking brain that doesn't depend on an umbilical cord to MSNBC for rhetoric, I can connect the dots. This is the same economic paralysis that we are currently experiencing. Business owners, investors, and the like know that Obama and the Dems will let the Bush tax cuts expire, that Obama's spending is bringing inflation, that there is a myriad of new government mandates on business (of which healthcare is just the beginning), and that Obama's statist ambitions are limitless and this is just the beginning. In other words, when the waters are rough and there are more storm clouds on the horizon, they are saving for an even rainier day, not hiring, and not investing. If Obama truly wanted to help the economic engine of our society, he'd cut taxes, cut spending, balance the federal budget, and lift burdensome regulation off of the back of business. What **lying, conniving statist** like you will never admit is that the real goal of Obamanomics is crush the middle class and use the government-created economic wreckage to continue justifying ever-greater government intervention and power. A real free-market recovery is what we need and which history has proven will work.

You imply that Bush 43 caused the economic downturn and as such, Obama can somehow shirk responsibility for the continued malaise. Proof once again that there is no excuse that **liars such as yourself** will not make for your liberal demagogues. Whether he "inherited" the crisis is irrelevant; it is his job to address it. He is the President, right **you dimwit**? He campaigned on economic issues and was elected because of the downturn that hit in summer 2008. Yet, nothing is his responsibility? If I were a teacher and a child entered my classroom not knowing how to read, is it not my responsibility to teach him? If he leaves my room in no better shape than he entered, is it acceptable for me to excuse myself by whining, "Hey, I inherited the problem!?" Of course, this miserable excuse is unsurprising, since **your corrupt and bankrupt philosophy** centers on a rejection of individual responsibility. One wonders: Will folks like

you and Obama ever claim responsibility for anything negative? Is anything ever your fault, **you gutless imbecile?**

In a factual sense, you are dead wrong. Bush 43 did not cause the crisis, though he did handle it poorly in the waning months of his administration. The root of the crisis was the collapse of the hyper-inflated housing market, which was a result of bad government policies created by Democrats. Regulations put in place by Democrats, beginning with the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 and accompanied by others over the years, encouraged and forced unsound lending policies backed by taxpayer dollars at Fannie and Freddie. Your Democratic heroes Chris Dodd and Barney Frank are among the key culprits that created and perpetuated this “Everybody is entitled to a house!” culture and continue to promote such self-serving and destructive policies in Congress. Bush and other Republicans attempted to reform these disastrous policies many times, but were blocked by congressional Dems. Purporting to help the poor, the left has lured millions of low-income citizens into loans they couldn’t afford, and now many of them have had to deal with foreclosure. Unsurprisingly, the travesty of a bill that you call financial reform that just passed through Congress doesn’t address these root problems at all, though it does greatly empower the government.

You reference per capita healthcare costs in America, as if it were a relevant figure. This nation has the world’s best healthcare, which is why countless foreigners come here each year to access it, and it is to be expected that it would be expensive. But why is it so expensive? **Your pitiful, unquestioning liberal mind** would certainly reply that rising costs are simply due to evil, greedy insurance companies. But again, bad government policies are to blame. Insurance costs a fortune because of enormous market interference from Medicare and Medicaid, government-mandated coverages, a lack of tort reform and out-of-control malpractice lawsuits (trials lawyers remain among the top donors to the Democratic Party), the bureaucratic labyrinth that burdens innovation in technology, pharmaceuticals, etc., and a host of other government-caused problems. But, once again, what is your solution? More government. I am sure our healthcare will be much better when federal bureaucrats are in control, just like public education has flourished since the

feds became involved. **Your infinitesimal brain** should detect sarcasm here. Oh, by the way, the federal Dept. of Education was created by a Democratic administration and has grown substantially under your hero Barack, and continues to coincide with poor performance by American students.

You say that 30% of Americans lack healthcare. Again, factually wrong, **bonehead**. Counting out illegal aliens, individuals that are transitioning between jobs and coverage, those that choose not to buy insurance, those eligible for Medicare/Medicaid but choose not to enroll, and so on, there are only about 10 million chronically uninsured people in America, all of which, by law, cannot be denied care in any ER. Hardly a crisis in a nation of over 300 million, wouldn't you say, **you slobbering doofus**? I haven't the time to dispose of the universal healthcare issue, but I will say this: **You leftwing statist**s talk about healthcare as if it were a right, like the right to free speech, etc. The problem is, all rights are made possible by corresponding responsibilities, or duties. **For you to enjoy free speech, I need to respect your right to say what you please, and I do.** For you to have universal healthcare, then I and my fellow citizens, by definition, must provide it. So, how do you balance your right to my money, my property, and my life against my right to my money, my property, and my life? You can't, **and if there were an honest bone in your body, you would admit that you don't give a rat's ass about any of that!** **You only care about yourself**, about furthering your agenda, and about doing it all on somebody else's dime. You only care about tightening your parasitical grip on the rest of society! By the way, I notice that once again, **an unthinking liberal Dem like you** cannot offer a shred of empirical evidence to support the notion that government healthcare in places like Britain and Canada is better than American healthcare. Perhaps you should live in Britain, where hospitals are so broke that they often neglect to change bedsheets between patients.

I assume your reference to holding corporations accountable is in regards to the Gulf oil spill. Conservatives are all for accountability, but legal means of holding BP accountable already exist via the courts. By contrast, Obama has no constitutional authority to extort \$20 billion from BP and dole it out as he pleases. It is one of many impeachable offenses he has already racked up. But, he has played so much golf since the crisis began that he probably hasn't had

time to consider that. I wonder how **bloviating buffoons like you** would have reacted if Bush had taken some four vacations during such a cataclysmic event. Speaking of money, the last time the Repubs controlled Congress and the White House, the federal deficit was about \$160 billion, and us true conservatives were screaming bloody murder about that. After less than two years of Dem control of both, we have added some \$3 trillion to our national debt. But, who cares, right? We'll just raise taxes on those evil rich people. That'll jumpstart the economy, **right idiot?**

Lastly, I never cease to be amazed at the incessant liberal claims that it is conservatives that cause disunity. Quite the contrary: **It is you** that wages class warfare. **It is you** that blames honest, hardworking businessmen while glorifying crony politicians. **It is you** that defines all issues in terms of irrelevant demographics such as race, sexuality, etc. **It is you** that pits labor against management/ownership. **Is it you** that condemns our closest allies while embracing our avowed enemies. **It is you** that bemoans our military. **It is you** that wants to get between doctors and patients. **It is you** that wants to limit my rights and deny my God-given freedom. **It is you** that supports candidates and officeholders whose stated mission is to jettison our Constitution in order to forcefully impose their secular-progressive vision. **It is you** that believes he has a right to my property. **It is you** that wants to control. **It is you** that reject this nation's founding principles. **It is you** that refuse to leave others alone, that seeks to impose his will on those who would simply live and let live. If you are so steeped in the entitlement culture and truly believe you have a right to the property of others, why don't you simply take it? Show up on my doorstep with a gun, demand my property, and see what happens, **you elitist little toad**. Be a man, don't hide behind the Obamas of the world, **you coward!**

In regards to Mr. Barton, there is virtually nothing in the e-mail in question that isn't true and verifiable. He is an accomplished historian, intellectual, and evangelical of a caliber that **your puny brain** cannot begin to fathom, and his organization, WallBuilders, has done more to promote Christianity and the Judeo-Christian values enshrined in our founding documents than any of the comparatively meager efforts exhibited on your website. Speaking of your website, one last note: **I marvel at the blatant hypocrisy of a man like yourself.**

You come from a successful business family, have apparently worked hard, created a product, and are now promoting that product in a free market. Good for you. At the same time, you support the Obamas of the world that want nothing less than to destroy all of those same principles and institutions that have allowed you and your family to prosper and flourish in a free society. If you are such a supporter of the dictatorship Obama is constructing, why didn't you simply stay in one of the despotic nations that you visited on your journey? It is probably for the better, for at least your family will leave (mostly) free and I have had the pleasure of writing this e-mail.

The truth will set you free,

[signed by the author]

"It is better to die on your feet than to live on your knees." -Emiliano Zapata, Mexican revolutionary

"When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men living together in society, they create for themselves in the course of time a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that justifies it." -Frédéric Bastiat, classical liberal theorist

"Of all tyrannies, a tyranny sincerely exercised for the good of its victims may be the most oppressive. It would be better to live under robber barons than under omnipotent moral busybodies. The robber baron's cruelty may sometimes sleep, his cupidity may at some point be satiated; but those who torment us for our own good will torment us without end for they do so with the approval of their own conscience." -C.S. Lewis, Christian fantasy novelist

"The study of history is a powerful antidote to contemporary arrogance. It is humbling to discover how many of our glib assumptions, which seem to us novel and plausible, have been tested before, not once but many times and in innumerable guises; and discovered to be, at great human cost, wholly false." -Paul Johnson, British historian